

STATE OF MICHIGAN  
COURT OF APPEALS

---

LOCAL 502, SEIU, AFL-CIO,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v

COUNTY OF WAYNE,

Defendant-Appellant,

---

UNPUBLISHED  
September 5, 2006

No. 260202  
Wayne Circuit Court  
LC No. 04-434618-CL

Before: Saad, P.J., and Jansen and White, JJ.

WHITE, J. (*concurring in part and dissenting in part*).

I respectfully dissent from the decision to vacate the preliminary injunction. While I agree that plaintiff's presentation regarding the irreparable harm issue should have been far more detailed, I do not agree that the court abused its discretion in concluding that the showing was sufficient. The essential facts are not disputed--the three top union officers conduct union business on a full time basis. Under the new policy, defendant requires them to report for duty and give two hours notice of the need to be absent on union business, and then to report again at the end of the shift, regardless of whether the union business has been concluded. At the time of this change, the parties were in contract negotiations, and the union officials additionally had the full spectrum of other union responsibilities relating to their twelve-hundred members. The court's written opinion was thorough and detailed, and its findings on each of the requirements for the issuance of a preliminary injunction were adequately supported.

I would affirm.

/s/ Helene N. White